

Telephone 129. FRANK MILLER, Pres't. (a) to-S.W

THE LOWEST PRICES Ever Known.

We offer this day a **BIG LOT** of New Scarlet and Gray TWILLED FLANNELS at Prices never before made on First Class Goods. Also, a lot of FANCY FLANNELS at 35c, well worth 65c. REMNANTS OF FLANNELS AT HALF-PRICE.

L. S. Ayres & Co

SCISSORS AND SHEARS—Having closed out the balance of stock of a bankrupt factory we are able to offer 6,000 pairs scissors and shears at 25 cents on the dollar.

CALL and see our NEW GOODS for Christmas.

BINGHAM & WALK, JEWELERS, 12 East Washington St.

JOS. A. MOORE, 84 E. Market St., Indianapolis, Ind.

Interest allowed on deposits in sums of \$5.00 and upward. Money loaned on Improved City and Farm Property in Indiana and Ohio. City, County and Town Bonds and Purchase-money Notes bought and sold. No loans made except those secured by first mortgage on real estate, with a large margin of security, or by collateral having a market value.

Foreign Exchange for sale on all parts of the world.

CRAWFORD'S NEW NOVEL An American Politician.

Price, cloth, \$1.25

CATHART, CLELAND & CO. 26 EAST WASHINGTON ST. Store open at night. m.w.f

SEE OUR Grand Exhibit of GORHAM'S Solid Silver. MAYHEW & COMSTOCK, 23 West Washington Street. Observe our window.

Buy Your Shirts AND FURNISHING GOODS, AND HAVE YOUR LAUNDRY done the best in the State at REAUMEY'S, 22 West Washington street, News building.

CITY NEWS. The News Telephone Calls. Editorial Rooms, 473 Business Office, 472

Thermometer. 74.8. 36.1. 52

The Boersman case has reached argument.

Joseph T. Fanning wants to be assistant clerk of the house.

The old suit of Fannie Russell vs. John F. Barnett et al. was dismissed to-day.

Henderson and Parsons, alleged chicken thieves, have waived preliminary examination.

Hon. Schuyler Colfax has become a large shareholder in the Indianapolis National bank.

Albert Kalha, at the instance of his relatives, has been ordered to the house of refuge.

There will be a meeting of the Presbytery of Indianapolis in the Second church of this city on Monday, at 11 a. m.

The 24th ward republican march to Salt river is set for this evening. The procession will start from Hoffman's saloon.

Vermilion is the first county to make the December settlement (semi-annual) with the state, to-day paying into the treasury \$10,457.66.

The Ringer tenement, partially destroyed by fire, yesterday, was insured for \$4,500 in the Royal of Liverpool. The loss is seventy per cent.

The Indianapolis starch company has withdrawn in disgust from the proposed starch pool, and the entire matter will probably go by default.

Ben. Dille, one of the oldest and ablest head clerks in the railway mail service has resigned, and he will locate in Chicago as the agent of the title works of this city.

W. J. Hesselman, of this city, who is adding blooded horses to his stock farm, has purchased all of W. S. Lingle's fine horses, including the Shell and pony stallion, Prince, said to be the finest in the country.

If the council will fill the bed of Pogue's run at Dock street, the bridge there can be made available, and the use of the street will be a very considerable convenience to the dense settlement along Church and Calo streets.

Nelson Fielder, arrested by the constabulary without a warrant, and taken before Squire Smock on a charge of larceny, was acquitted, yesterday afternoon, the Squire not liking the appearance of a portion of the testimony against him.

A score of Cincinnatians, including Col. William B. Melish, a prominent Mason, are in the city, for the purpose of attending the meeting to-night of the Ancient Arabic Order, Nobles of the Mystic Shrine. A large class will be initiated into the order.

One of the patrolmen, last evening, attempted to kill Will Christian's dog, and his abjectly attracted quite a crowd in front of 128 North Alabama street. The name of the officer could not be learned by Mr. Christian, who denounced him in the liveliest terms.

John T. Woodard was arraigned in the United States court to-day on the charge of violating the postal law, by sending lottery circulars through the mails, and entered a plea of guilty. The penalty, which can not exceed a fine of \$500, will be fixed to-morrow.

The police authorities have finally determined to give some attention to that class known as potato peddlers. Under the ordinance, every peddler is compelled to wear a badge conspicuously, carry his license with him, and have his name painted on his wagon.

For a long time young hoodlums have nightly infested the corners of Meridian, McCarty and Maple streets, and last evening Patrolman Mack arrested seven of them for obstructing the sidewalks.

To-day they were reprimanded by the gentle mayor and advised that the young rascals have been a great annoyance heretofore, insulting ladies, indulging in profane and blasphemous language, and blackguarding the police sent to drive them away. It may be that the terror of another reprimand may keep them more quiet in the future.

THE NEW STATE HOUSE

Further Charges that Both the Work Inspected and Defective—Statements of the Architect and Commissioners.

Since the statement of a former employee at the state house was published, a few days ago, charging that interior work was being done and defective material used in the construction of the \$2,000,000 building, other workmen have come forward with charges of a similar nature.

Besides the defective work, the defective material used in the thickening of the mortar beds and the utilizing of broken stone when solid and substantial material was called, it has developed (or rather it is alleged) that thousands of cheap and soft brick have been put into the walls, which would ordinarily be refused in the construction of a common residence.

The plans required hard and durable brick throughout. It is said, that during the recent visit of the inspector, the contractor, who had the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used. Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

Further than this, it is charged that one of the keystones of the arch over the entrance, which the superintendent for the contractors removed the sign "condemned," and the whole lot was forthwith used.

A NOTABLE CASE.

The Son of Chief Justice Coleridge Sued by His Prospective Brother-in-law for Libel.

LONDON, November 21.—A noteworthy libel case was begun to-day. Frank Mantell Adams, a London barrister, sued Bernard Coleridge, son of Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, Adams conducts his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.

Adams conducted his own case. His opening speech stated that he was engaged to the daughter of Judge Coleridge. The words of her, Mrs. Coleridge, said to him, and induced him to write a letter to his daughter, libelling him (Adams). The young lady turned the letter over to her brother. This led to her expulsion from her father's house and exhuming her name from her father's will.

The plaintiff is a wealthy man, well known in London society, and was until recently engaged to be married to Miss Mantell Adams, the only daughter of Baron Coleridge, Lord Chief-justice Coleridge's daughter. The defendant, Bernard Coleridge, is the son of the late Lord Chief-justice Coleridge, and is a member of the bar.